

HOUSE BILL No. 1179

DIGEST OF HB 1179 (Updated March 1, 1999 11:41 am - DI 98)

Citations Affected: IC 35-50.

Synopsis: Death sentence. Allows a jury to consider evidence of the impact of the crime on the members of the victim's family and evidence of the defendant's criminal history when recommending a sentence for a defendant. Requires a court to sentence a defendant according to a unanimous jury sentencing recommendation. Provides that if a jury is unable to agree on a sentence recommendation in a murder case after reasonable deliberations, the court must discharge the jury, hold a separate sentencing hearing, and sentence the defendant to: (1) a fixed term of imprisonment; or (2) life imprisonment without parole.

Effective: July 1, 1999.

Crawford

January 6, 1999, read first time and referred to Committee on Courts and Criminal Code. March 1, 1999, amended, reported — Do Pass.



First Regular Session 111th General Assembly (1999)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in this style type, and deletions will appear in this style type.

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in **this style type**. Also, the word **NEW** will appear in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.

Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in *this style type* or *this style type* reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 1998 General Assembly.

HOUSE BILL No. 1179

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning criminal law and procedure.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

SECTION 1. IC 35-50-2-9 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1999]: Sec. 9. (a) The state may
seek either a death sentence or a sentence of life imprisonment withou
parole for murder by alleging, on a page separate from the rest of the
charging instrument, the existence of at least one (1) of the aggravating
circumstances listed in subsection (b). In the sentencing hearing after
a person is convicted of murder, the state must prove beyond a
reasonable doubt the existence of at least one (1) of the aggravating
circumstances alleged. However, the state may not proceed against a
defendant under this section if a court determines at a pretrial hearing
under IC 35-36-9 that the defendant is a mentally retarded individual

- (b) The aggravating circumstances are as follows:
 - (1) The defendant committed the murder by intentionally killing the victim while committing or attempting to commit any of the following:
 - (A) Arson (IC 35-43-1-1).
- (B) Burglary (IC 35-43-2-1).

HB 1179-LS 7034/DI 69+



13

14

15

16

17

C





y

1	(C) Child molesting (IC 35-42-4-3).	
2	(D) Criminal deviate conduct (IC 35-42-4-2).	
3	(E) Kidnapping (IC 35-42-3-2).	
4	(F) Rape (IC 35-42-4-1).	
5	(G) Robbery (IC 35-42-5-1).	
6	(H) Carjacking (IC 35-42-5-2).	
7	(I) Criminal gang activity (IC 35-45-9-3).	
8	(J) Dealing in cocaine or a narcotic drug (IC 35-48-4-1).	
9	(2) The defendant committed the murder by the unlawful	
10	detonation of an explosive with intent to injure person or damage	
11	property.	
12	(3) The defendant committed the murder by lying in wait.	
13	(4) The defendant who committed the murder was hired to kill.	
14	(5) The defendant committed the murder by hiring another person	
15	to kill.	
16	(6) The victim of the murder was a corrections employee,	
17	probation officer, parole officer, community corrections worker,	
18	home detention officer, fireman, judge, or law enforcement	
19	officer, and either:	
20	(A) the victim was acting in the course of duty; or	
21	(B) the murder was motivated by an act the victim performed	
22	while acting in the course of duty.	
23	(7) The defendant has been convicted of another murder.	
24	(8) The defendant has committed another murder, at any time,	
25	regardless of whether the defendant has been convicted of that	
26	other murder.	
27	(9) The defendant was:	
28	(A) under the custody of the department of correction;	
29	(B) under the custody of a county sheriff;	
30	(C) on probation after receiving a sentence for the commission	
31	of a felony; or	
32	(D) on parole;	
33	at the time the murder was committed.	
34	(10) The defendant dismembered the victim.	
35	(11) The defendant burned, mutilated, or tortured the victim while	
36	the victim was alive.	
37	(12) The victim of the murder was less than twelve (12) years of	
38	age.	
39	(13) The victim was a victim of any of the following offenses for	
40	which the defendant was convicted:	
41	(A) Battery as a Class D felony or as a Class C felony under	
12	IC 35-42-2-1	





1	(B) Kidnapping (IC 35-42-3-2).
2	(C) Criminal confinement (IC 35-42-3-3).
3	(D) A sex crime under IC 35-42-4.
4	(14) The victim of the murder was listed by the state or known by
5	the defendant to be a witness against the defendant and the
6	defendant committed the murder with the intent to prevent the
7	person from testifying.
8	(15) The defendant committed the murder by intentionally
9	discharging a firearm (as defined in IC 35-47-1-5):
10	(A) into an inhabited dwelling; or
11	(B) from a vehicle.
12	(16) The victim of the murder was pregnant and the murder
13	resulted in the intentional killing of a fetus that has attained
14	viability (as defined in IC 16-18-2-365).
15	(17) The impact of the crime on the members of the victim's
16	family.
17	(c) The mitigating circumstances that may be considered under this
18	section are as follows:
19	(1) The defendant has no significant history of prior criminal
20	conduct.
21	(2) The defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or
22	emotional disturbance when the murder was committed.
23	(3) The victim was a participant in or consented to the defendant's
24	conduct.
25	(4) The defendant was an accomplice in a murder committed by
26	another person, and the defendant's participation was relatively
27	minor.
28	(5) The defendant acted under the substantial domination of
29	another person.
30	(6) The defendant's capacity to appreciate the criminality of the
31	defendant's conduct or to conform that conduct to the
32	requirements of law was substantially impaired as a result of
33	mental disease or defect or of intoxication.
34	(7) The defendant was less than eighteen (18) years of age at the
35	time the murder was committed.
36	(8) Any other circumstances appropriate for consideration.
37	(d) If the defendant was convicted of murder in a jury trial, the jury
38	shall reconvene for the sentencing hearing. If the trial was to the court,
39	or the judgment was entered on a guilty plea, the court alone shall
40	conduct the sentencing hearing. The jury or the court may consider all
41	the evidence introduced at the trial stage of the proceedings, together
42	with new evidence presented at the sentencing hearing, including



1	evidence of the defendant's criminal history. The court shall instruct
2	the jury concerning the statutory penalties for murder and any other
3	offenses for which the defendant was convicted, the potential for
4	consecutive or concurrent sentencing, and the availability of good time
5	credit and clemency. The defendant may present any additional
6	evidence relevant to:
7	(1) the aggravating circumstances alleged; or
8	(2) any of the mitigating circumstances listed in subsection (c).
9	(e) Except as provided by IC 35-36-9, if the hearing is by jury, the
10	jury shall recommend to the court whether the death penalty or life
11	imprisonment without parole, or neither, should be imposed. The jury
12	may recommend:
13	(1) the death penalty; or
14	(2) life imprisonment without parole;
15	only if it makes the findings described in subsection (k). The court shall
16	make the final determination of the sentence, after considering the
17	jury's recommendation, and the sentence shall be based on the same
18	standards that the jury was required to consider. The court is not bound
19	by the jury's recommendation. In making the final determination of the
20	sentence after receiving the jury's recommendation, the court may
21	receive evidence of the crime's impact on members of the victim's
22	family. If the jury unanimously reaches a sentencing
23	recommendation, the court shall sentence the defendant
24	accordingly.
25	(f) If a jury is unable to agree on a sentence recommendation after
26	reasonable deliberations, the court shall discharge the jury, and proceed
27	as if the hearing had been to the court alone. hold a separate
28	sentencing hearing, and sentence the defendant to:
29	(1) a fixed term of imprisonment under section 3(a) of this
30	chapter; or
31	(2) life imprisonment without parole.
32	A court may impose a term of life imprisonment without parole
33	under this subsection only if the court makes the findings described
34	in subsection (k).
35	(g) If the hearing is to the court alone, except as provided by
36	IC 35-36-9, the court shall:
37	(1) sentence the defendant to death; or

(2) impose a term of life imprisonment without parole;

(h) If a court sentences a defendant to death, the court shall order

the defendant's execution to be carried out not later than one (1) year

and one (1) day after the date the defendant was convicted. The

only if it makes the findings described in subsection (k).

HB 1179—LS 7034/DI 69+



38

39

40

41

42

	5
1	supreme court has exclusive jurisdiction to stay the execution of a
2	death sentence. If the supreme court stays the execution of a death
3	sentence, the supreme court shall order a new date for the defendant's
4	execution.
5	(i) If a person sentenced to death by a court files a petition for
6	post-conviction relief, the court, not later than ninety (90) days after the
7	date the petition is filed, shall set a date to hold a hearing to consider
8	the petition. If a court does not, within the ninety (90) day period, set
9	the date to hold the hearing to consider the petition, the court's failure
10	to set the hearing date is not a basis for additional post-conviction
11	relief. The attorney general shall answer the petition for post-conviction
12	relief on behalf of the state. At the request of the attorney general, a
13	prosecuting attorney shall assist the attorney general. The court shall
14	enter written findings of fact and conclusions of law concerning the
15	petition not later than ninety (90) days after the date the hearing
16	concludes. However, if the court determines that the petition is without
17	merit, the court may dismiss the petition within ninety (90) days
18	without conducting a hearing under this subsection.
19	(j) A death sentence is subject to automatic review by the supreme
20	court. The review, which shall be heard under rules adopted by the
21	supreme court, shall be given priority over all other cases. The supreme
22	court's review must take into consideration all claims that the:
23	(1) conviction or sentence was in violation of the:
24	(A) Constitution of the State of Indiana; or
25	(B) Constitution of the United States;
26	(2) sentencing court was without jurisdiction to impose a
27	sentence; and

- sentence; and
- (3) sentence:
 - (A) exceeds the maximum sentence authorized by law; or
 - (B) is otherwise erroneous.

If the supreme court cannot complete its review by the date set by the sentencing court for the defendant's execution under subsection (h), the supreme court shall stay the execution of the death sentence and set a new date to carry out the defendant's execution.

- (k) Before a sentence may be imposed under this section, the jury, in a proceeding under subsection (e), or the court, in a proceeding under subsection (f) or (g), must find that:
 - (1) the state has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that at least one (1) of the aggravating circumstances listed in subsection (b) exists; and
 - (2) any mitigating circumstances that exist are outweighed by the aggravating circumstance or circumstances.



28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42



COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Courts and Criminal Code, to which was referred House Bill 1179, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

Page 3, between lines 14 and 15, begin a new line block indented and insert:

"(17) The impact of the crime on the members of the victim's family.".

Page 3, line 40, after "hearing" insert ", including evidence of the defendant's criminal history".

Page 4, delete lines 6 through 20, begin a new paragraph and insert:

- "(e) Except as provided by IC 35-36-9, if the hearing is by jury, the jury shall recommend to the court whether the death penalty or life imprisonment without parole, or neither, should be imposed. The jury may recommend:
 - (1) the death penalty; or
 - (2) life imprisonment without parole;

only if it makes the findings described in subsection (k). The court shall make the final determination of the sentence, after considering the jury's recommendation, and the sentence shall be based on the same standards that the jury was required to consider. The court is not bound by the jury's recommendation. In making the final determination of the sentence after receiving the jury's recommendation, the court may receive evidence of the crime's impact on members of the victim's family. If the jury unanimously reaches a sentencing recommendation, the court shall sentence the defendant accordingly."

and when so amended that said bill do pass.

(Reference is to HB 1179 as introduced.)

DVORAK, Chair

Committee Vote: yeas 10, nays 0.

о р у

